

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,477	12/30/2003	Kay L. Grasso	CRNI.107715	7079
46169 7590 11/18/20099 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			SQUIRES, ELIZA A	
2555 GRAND BOULEVARD KANSAS CTTY, MO 64108-2613			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/748,477 GRASSO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Eliza Squires 3626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.26-29.46 and 51-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 26-29, 46, and 51-57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/748,477 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment dated 8/3/2009 has been entered. Claims 1-4, 26, and 46 has been amended. Claim 41 has been canceled and claims 51-57 have been added. Claims 1-7, 26-29, 46, and 51-57 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-7, 26-29, 46, and 51-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- The rejections under 35 USC 101 and 112 previously presented in the Office Action dated 4/2/2009 has been withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-7, 26-29, 46, and 51-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,985,870 to Martucci et al..
- As to claims 1 and 26, Martucci discloses a computer-implemented_method in a computer

system for preventing one or more medications from being administered to a person too early, the method comprising:

receiving from a clinician, utilizing a first computer process, an identification of an medication to be administered to a person, wherein the identification of the medication is input

Art Unit: 3626

by the clinician during a clinical visit with the person (*Martucci* figure 7 and column 4 lines 18-50);

in response to receiving the identification of the medication to be administered during the clinical visit, determining, utilizing a second computer process, whether it is too soon to administer the medication (Martucci figures 15 (j) and (k) and column 11 lines 38-45); and

based on a determination that it is too soon to administer the medication, displaying a warning that the medication is being administered too soon (*Martucci* figures 15 (j) and (k) and column 11 lines 38-45),

wherein the first and second computer processes are executed utilizing one or more computing devices (Marucci see "handheld computing device" in at least the abstract).

Martucci does not explicitly teach that the medication is an immunization, however, the fact that the fluid delivered to a patient is an immunization does not effect any of the steps in the method, therefore, the type of fluid, an immunization is non-functional. Additionally, it would be simple substitution to substitute an immunization for the medication of Martucci.

 As to claims 2 and 27, see the discussion of claim 1, additionally, Martucci discloses the method further comprising:

upon determining that it is too soon to administer the medicine, determining it is still safe to administer the medicine (*Martucci* figures 15 (j) and (k) and column 11 lines 38-45 wherein a practitioner can choose to continue or to cancel the medication delivery when alerted).

As to claims 3 and 28, see the discussion of claims 1 and 2, additionally, Martucci
discloses the method further comprising:

Art Unit: 3626

based on a determination that it is still safe to administer the medicine, wherein if it is safe to administer the medicine, outputting information that it is safe to administer the medicine (Martucci figures 13 (a) and (b)).

8. **As to claims 4 and 29,** see the discussion of claims 1 and 2, additionally, *Martucci* discloses the method further comprising further comprising:

upon determining that it is too soon to administer the medicine, determining that it is not safe to administer the medicine; and

based on a determination that it is not safe to administer the medicine, wherein if it is not safe to administer the medicine, outputting information that it is not safe to administer the medicine (*Martucci* Figure 39).

 As to claim 5, see the discussion of claim 1 additionally Martucci discloses the method further comprising:

obtaining information regarding the safe timing of medications from a database (Martucci figures 15 (j) and (k) and column 11 lines 38-45).

10. As to claim 6, see the discussion of claims 1 and 5 additionally Martucci discloses the method further comprising:

obtaining information from an electronic medical record of the person stored within a comprehensive healthcare system (Martucci column 8 lines 40-57).

11. As to claim 7, see the discussion of claims 1 and 5-6 additionally Martucci discloses the method further comprising:

Art Unit: 3626

utilizing the information from the electronic medical record of the person and the information regarding safe timing of medications to determine whether an immunization is being administered too soon (*Martucci* figures 15 (j) and (k) and column 11 lines 38-45).

12. As to claim 46, Martucci discloses a computer-storage medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method for preventing one or more immunizations from being administered to a person too early, the method comprising:

receiving from a clinician an identification of a medication to be administered to a person, wherein the identification of the immunization is input by the clinician during a clinical visit with the person (Martucci figure 7 and column 4 lines 18-50);

in response to receiving the identification of the medication to be administered to the person during the clinical visit, determining whether it is too soon to administer the medication during the clinical visit; and

based on a determination that it is too soon to administer the medication, displaying a warning that the medication is being administered too soon, wherein the warning is a first popup warning window (Martucci figures 15 (j) and (k) and column 11 lines 38-45);

based on a determination this it is not too soon to administer the medication, determining whether the medication will cause an adverse reaction to the person (Martucci Figure 39).

upon determining that the medication will cause an adverse reaction, displaying a warning that the medication will cause an adverse reaction via a second pop-up warning window (Martucci Figure 39).

Art Unit: 3626

upon determining that the medication will not cause an adverse reaction, displaying a message that the medication may be administered during the clinical visit (*Martucci* figures 13 (a) and (b)).

Martucci does not explicitly teach that the medication is an immunization, however, the fact that the fluid delivered to a patient is an immunization does not effect any of the steps in the method, therefore, the type of fluid, an immunization is non-functional. Additionally, it would be simple substitution to substitute an immunization for the medication of Martucci.

 As to claim 51, see the discussion of claim 46, additionally, Martucci discloses the computer storage medium further comprising:

obtaining healthcare information for the person from the person's electronic medical record (Martucci column 8 lines 40-57).

- 14. As to claim 52, see the discussion of claim 46, additionally, Martucci discloses the computer-readable medium further comprising: obtaining information regarding adverse reactions and immunizations (Martuchi column 11 lines 58-67).
- 15. As to claim 53, see the discussion of claim 46 and 52, additionally, Martucci discloses the computer-readable medium further comprising:

comparing the information regarding adverse reactions to the information for the person obtained from the person's electronic medical record (Martucci column 8 lines 58-67).

16. **As to claim 54,** see the discussion of claims 46 and 52-53, additionally, *Martucci* discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the information obtained from the person's electronic medical record includes medications being taken (*Martucci* column 8 lines 58-67).

Art Unit: 3626

17. As to claim 55, see the discussion of claims 46 and 52-53, additionally, Martucci discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the information obtained from the person's electronic medical record includes allergy information (Martucci column 8 lines 58-67).

- 18. As to claim 56, see the discussion of claim 46 and 52-53, additionally, Martucci discloses the computer-readable medium wherein the information obtained from the person's electronic medical record includes a medical condition that can cause adverse reactions to the medication (Martucci column 8 lines 58-67 where in a medical condition is an allergy).
- 19. As to claim 57, see the discussion of claim 46 and 52-53, additionally, Martucci discloses The computer-readable medium wherein the information obtained from the person's electronic medical record includes a genetic condition that predisposes the person to adverse reactions to the immunizations (Marrucci column 8 lines 58-67 where in a medical condition is an allergy and an allergy is a "complex genetic disorder" (The Genetics of Athsma Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med., Volume 161, Number 3, March 2000, S202-S206).

Art Unit: 3626

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eliza Squires whose telephone number is (571)270-7052. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 am - 4 pm Eastern Standard

Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Gilligan can be reached on 571-272-6770. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 3626

/C. Luke Gilligan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626